1. The date the notice of the intended rulemaking action was published in "The Oklahoma Register";

   November 1, 2013

2. The name and address of the agency;

   Oklahoma State Regents for Higher Education
   655 Research Parkway, Suite 200
   Oklahoma City, OK, 73104

3. The title and number of the rule;

   TITLE 610. STATE REGENTS FOR HIGHER EDUCATION
   CHAPTER 25. STUDENT FINANCIAL AID AND SCHOLARSHIPS
   SUBCHAPTER 3. Robert S. Kerr Conference Center
   610:15-3-1. Purpose [REVOKED]
   610:15-3-2. Utilization policy [REVOKED]
   610:15-3-3. Administration and management of Center [REVOKED]
   610:15-3-4. Operating Budget [REVOKED]
   610:15-3-5. Financial and program reports [REVOKED]
   610:15-3-6. Internal controls and accounting procedures [REVOKED]
   610:15-3-7. Changes in policy and procedures [REVOKED]

4. A citation to the statutory authority for the rule;

   70 O.S. §2601 et seq.; 70 O.S. §3206 (i); Oklahoma State Regents for Higher Education

5. A brief summary of the content of the adopted rule;

   The proposed rule changes address the following issues:

   The Center is no longer being used by Carl Albert State College (College). For the last several
   years maintenance and operation of the Center have become a financial burden for the College.
   Its Board of Regents has rejected an offer from the State of Oklahoma to take title to the property.
   All museum artifacts have been retrieved by the local historical society. All catering equipment,
   paintings and artwork have been secured and stored in appropriate locations at the College.

6. A statement explaining the need for the adopted rule;

   The adopted rule revocation is necessary to responsibly complete the closure of the facility so that
   the asset can be repurposed or disposed by the State of Oklahoma.
7. The date and location of the meeting, if held, at which such rules were adopted;

December 5, 2013
Oklahoma State Regents for Higher Education
655 Research Parkway, Suite 200
Oklahoma City, OK, 73104

8. A summary of the comments and explanation of changes or lack of any change made in the adopted rules as a result of testimony received at all hearings or meetings held or sponsored by an agency for the purpose of providing the public an opportunity to comment on the rules or of any written comments received prior to the adoption of the rule. The summary shall include all comments received about the cost impact of the proposed rules;

No comments were received.

9. A list of persons or organizations who appeared or registered for or against the adopted rule at any public hearing held by the agency or those who have commented in writing before or after the hearing;

None. There was no public hearing.

10. A rule impact statement;

See attached

11. An incorporation by reference statement if the rule incorporates a set of rules from a body outside the state, such as a national code;

N/A

12. The members of the governing board of the agency adopting the rules and the recorded vote of each member;

Massey – not present
White – yes
Holloway – yes
Parker – not present
Harrell – yes
Glass – yes
Turpen – yes
Strickland – yes
Helm - yes
RULE IMPACT STATEMENT

A. Brief description of the purpose of the proposed rule.

The Center is no longer being used by Carl Albert State College (College). For the last several years maintenance and operation of the Center have become a financial burden for the College. Its Board of Regents has rejected an offer from the State of Oklahoma to take title to the property. All museum artifacts have been retrieved by the local historical society. All catering equipment, paintings and artwork have been secured and stored in appropriate locations at the College. Written notice has been provided to the State through the Office of Management and Enterprise Services that the Center is no longer being used.

B. Description of the classes of persons who most likely will be affected by the proposed rule, including classes that will bear the costs of the proposed rule, and any information on cost impacts received by the agency from any private or public entities.

Carl Albert State College and the State Regents for Higher Education will be affected by the rule revocation. No class of persons will bear the costs of the rule revocation and no information on cost impacts was received by the agency from any private or public entities.

C. Description of the classes of persons who will benefit from the proposed rule.

Carl Albert State College and the State Regents for Higher Education will benefit from the rule revocation. The State of Oklahoma may benefit if the asset is repurposed or disposed of by the State.

D. Description of the probable economic impact of the proposed rule upon affected classes of persons or political subdivisions, including a listing of all fee changes and, whenever possible, a separate justification for each fee change.

There is no anticipated economic impact from the rule revocation.

E. Probable costs and benefits to the agency and to any other agency of the implementation and enforcement of the proposed rule, the source of revenue to be used for implementation and enforcement of the proposed rule, and any anticipated effect on state revenues, including a projected net loss or gain in such revenues if it can be projected by the agency.

The costs to close the facility are minimal. Carl Albert State College and the State Regents for Higher Education will benefit by reducing the expenditure of funds for a facility that is no longer being used.

F. Determination of whether implementation of the proposed rule will have an economic impact on any political subdivisions or require their cooperation in implementing or enforcing the rule.

The proposed rule changes should not have an economic impact on any political subdivisions.
G. Determination of whether implementation of the proposed rule will have an adverse economic effect on small business as provided by the Oklahoma Small Business Regulatory Flexibility Act.

After consideration with reference to Section 303(A)(4) and 303(B)(6) of Title 75, it is believed that the proposed rules will have no adverse impact upon Small Business.

H. Explanation of the measures the agency has taken to minimize compliance costs and a determination of whether there are less costly or non-regulatory methods or less intrusive methods for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule.

There are no compliance costs related to this action.

I. Determination of the effect of the proposed rule on the public health, safety and environment and, if the proposed rule is designed to reduce significant risks to the public health, safety and environment, an explanation of the nature of the risk and to what extent the proposed rule will reduce the risk.

The proposed rule should have no effect on the public health, safety, and environment.

J. Determination of any detrimental effect on the public health, safety and environment if the proposed rule is not implemented.

There will be no known effect on the public health, safety, or the environment if the rule revocation is not implemented.

K. Date the rule impact statement was prepared and if modified, the date modified.

October 3, 2013.